

REMARKS

The Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action mailed July 9, 2008 and offers the following remarks to accompany the above amendments.

Claims 1 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant has amended claims 1 and 19 as noted above and requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0259544 A1 to *Amos* (hereinafter "*Amos*") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,603,965 B1 to *Dinkin* (hereinafter "*Dinkin*") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,184,418 B1 to *Baba et al.* (hereinafter "*Baba*"). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

According to Chapter 2143.03 of the M.P.E.P., in order to "establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art." The Applicant submits that none of the references, either alone or in combination, disclose or suggest all the features recited in claims 1-38. More specifically, claim 1 has been amended to recite a mobile terminal comprising a first interface, where "the first interface is adapted to couple to the first communication network such that the wired connection is facilitated through the first interface." Moreover, claim 1 has been amended to recite a control system adapted to, among other features, "establish the first session for the communication via the first interface, the first session identified with a first indicia," "determine that communications via the first interface will no longer be possible," and "initiate and establish a second session for the communication with an entity via the second interface, the second session identified with the first indicia." Claim 19 has been amended to include similar features. The Applicant has reviewed *Amos*, *Dinkin*, and *Baba* and submits that these references do not disclose, or even suggest, a mobile terminal having a first interface adapted to couple to a first communication network such that a wired connection is facilitated through the first interface, and a control system that is adapted to establish a first communication session via the first interface, determine that communications via the first interface will no longer be possible, and initiate and establish a second session for the communications. Accordingly, claims 1 and 19 are patentable over the cited references and the Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn. Likewise,

claims 2-18 and 20-38, which variously depend from claim 1 or 19, are patentable for at least the same reasons along with the novel features recited therein.

The present application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the Applicant's representative regarding any remaining issues in an effort to expedite allowance and issuance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.

By:



Anthony J. Josephson
Registration No. 45,742
100 Regency Forest Drive, Suite 160
Cary, NC 27518
Telephone: (919) 238-2300

Date: November 6, 2008
Attorney Docket: 7000-339